

RESEARCH ARTICLE



ISSN: 2321-7758

A MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR MEASURING THE CONSTRUCTION QUALITY OF GOVERNMENT PROJECTS USING NONLINEAR REGRESSION TECHNIQUE

AHMED F. H. ALFAHHAM^{1*}, HATEM KHALEEFAH BREESAM²

¹ MSc. Student, Civil Eng. Dept., University of Kufa. Al-Najaf, Iraq, ² Prof., Dr. Civil Eng. Dept., University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq, <u>dr.hatem2099@yahoo.com</u>

*Corresponding author: Civil Eng. Dep., University of Kufa. Iraq,

ahmedfalah82@gmail.com DOI: 10.33329/ijoer.8.5.17

ABSTRACT



Presents the results of a research study carried out to examine the main factors that affect the quality of a construction project. The aim is to identify the factors that appear to be closely related to the construction quality of government projects. The ultimate goal is to provide clients, projects, managers, designers, and contractors with information that can help them work more efficiently with their limited resources and thereby achieve better quality results. 50 recently completed government construction projects in Iraq were examined and analysed. The results of the survey showed that the factors that most influenced the quality of the construction according to the materiality index were: the cost indicated by the contractor in the field of work. Financial efficiency of the contractor, delay in the contractor's lending, work interruption, experience of the team leader in supervision and quality control, time saved by the contractor for completing the work, delay of the employer in issuing change orders, availability of infrastructure, easy access to the workplace and quality the materials used in the work.

Key words: construction, quality, management, Iraq, experience, change orders.

INTRODUCTION

Quality systems and quality are topics that are receiving increasing attention worldwide [1]. The end product must be manufactured to the required standard in any industry that provides customer satisfaction and value for money. The quality requirement of the end product is no less in the construction industry than in any other industry. The high construction costs make it necessary to guarantee the quality of the end product. Quality is defined in BS 4778 Part 1: Quality Vocabulary (British Standards Institution and ISO 9001: Quality Systems: A Model for Quality Assurance in Design, Development, Production, Installation and Service [2] as the sum of the characteristics and characteristics of a product / service that affect its ability to meet certain needs / impacts with particular reference to the construction industry, quality is defined as:

•fitness for purpose [3]

•the effective achievement of agreed goals between the client and the main contractor [4]; or.

• the conformance to requirements of clients as defined by [5].



This article presents the results of a research study conducted to identify the main factors influencing the quality of government construction projects in Iraq. A total of 50 recently completed construction projects were examined and analyzed. With the help of the materiality index and nonlinear regression analysis, the factors influencing the quality of the construction project were determined.

FACTORS THAT AFFECT THE QUALITY OF THE PROJECT

Different researchers have made different attempts to define the success factors of the criticality design [6], [7], [8] and [9]. The literature is rich in lists of variables. It is supposed to affect the quality of the construction project. There are some common variations in more than one list, but there is certainly usually no agreement on the variables. A review of this earlier research reveals some common variable issues that affect the quality of construction projects. The perceived factors that influence service quality can be roughly divided into the headings customer, project, project environment, project team leader, project procedure and project management procedure.

A. Research Aims

The aim of this research is:

Investigate the main causes of poor construction quality in Iraqi government projects.

Developing a model to enable the concerned government agencies to predict the expected quality of government construction projects upon awarding the contract.

B. Research Justification

Several studies have been carried out to examine the real factors that affect the quality output of government building projects either at the design stage or during construction. No attempts were found to investigate how the information available at the time of the award of the contract was used to predict the expected quality of construction projects.

C. Research Methodology

A wide range of literature is reviewed to find the most common and influential causes of quality in construction projects. The questionnaire form is then distributed to owners, consultants, supervisory engineers and contractors in the public and private sectors. Expert opinions were analysed to identify ten factors influencing the construction quality of government projects. Data on these ten criteria were obtained from completed project records and then used to develop the nonlinear regression measurement model.

D. The questionnaire results

The sixty-five factors that affect quality have been divided into fourteen main groups. In terms of owner, design, specifications, project, contract, contractor, monitoring and implementation team, subcontractor, management, financial aspects, materials and equipment, implementation method, systems used, and labour and external factors These groups were included in a sample questionnaire in order to obtain opinions from local experts on their applicability to the case study. Appendix (A) shows the questionnaire form used with (65) factors that influence construction quality and project completion. Sort and sort based on the questionnaire results discussed later. A total of (90) (110) distributed guestionnaires were collected, which corresponds to a response rate (82%). Ten of the factors that most affect quality are: the cost provided by the contractor to complete the work, the specialization and accumulated experience of the contractor in the field of the work. Financial efficiency of the contractor, delay in the contractor's lending, work stoppages, experience of the team leader in terms of supervision and quality control, time the contractor allows to complete the work, delay in issuing change orders by the employer, availability of infrastructure, easier Access to the workplace and quality of the materials used for the work.

E. Verification of the Questionnaire Results

The reliability and validity of the questionnaire results are checked using the Cronbach alpha technique using equation (1), with the normal range of the Cronbach coefficient value (alpha) being between (0.0) and (1.0). The closer the alpha





A Peer Reviewed International Journal Articles available online <u>http://www.ijoer.in;</u> editorijoer@gmail.com Vol.8., Issue.5, 2020 Sept-Oct

is to (1), the greater the internal consistency of the data. [10].

$$\alpha = \frac{K}{K-1} \left(1 - \frac{\sum_i Si^2}{St^2} \right) \qquad \dots (1)$$

Where:

K: number of items in a group.

Si 2: the variance associated with the item (i).

St2: the variance associated with the sum of all (k) item scores.

Table (1) shows the reliability and validity values according to Cronbach's alpha for each group in the questionnaire. Cronbach's alpha values were found to be in the range of (0.906 to 0.981). This range is considered high, so the reliability and validity of each group in the questionnaire is ensured, since validity is measured according to equation (2) [10].

$$V = \sqrt[2]{\alpha} \qquad \dots (2)$$

Group of factors	No. of Factors	Reliability	Validity
Owner related factors	8	0.931	0.964
Design and specification related factors	6	0.91	0.953
Project related factors	7	0.932	0.965
Contract-related factors	3	0.951	0.975
Factors related to the contractor	5	0.966	0.983
Factors related to the supervision and implementation team	4	0.921	0.96
Factors related to the subcontractor	3	0.931	0.965
Management related factors (employer, consultant and contractor)	5	0.95	0.975
Factors related to financial issues	5	0.981	0.99
Factors related to materials and equipment	5	0.96	0.979
Factors related to the method of implementation	4	0.906	0.952
Factors related to the systems used	4	0.993	0.996
Factors related to the workforce	3	0.929	0.964
External factors	4	0.934	0.966
Total Factors Affect Group	65	0.914	0.95

TABLE 1: RELIABILITY AND VALIDITY OF GROUPS FACTORS

F. (Cronbach's Alpha)

Furthermore, the relative importance of influencing factors is calculated according to [11], which used the relative importance index (RII) for this purpose. The five-point Likert scale was adopted from (1 = weak influence) to (5 = very high influence) and converted into indicators of relative importance (RII) using equation (3) for each factor in the questionnaire.

$$\operatorname{RII} = \frac{5*n5+4*n4+3*n3+2*n2+1*n1}{5*(n1+n2+n3+n4+n5)} * 100 ...(3)$$

Where:

nl, n2, n3, n4, and n5 (the number of respondents who selected):

nl: Number of respondents who had little effect.

n2: Number of respondents having chosen some effect.

n3: Number of respondents picking the average effect.

n4: Number of High Effect respondents.

n5: number of respondents who selected very high effect.



In contrast, these five expressions are defined by equal intervals, as the following:

- $10.0 \leq \text{little effect (LE)} \leq 20.0$
- $20.0 \leq \text{some effect (SE)} \leq 40.0$
- $40.0 \le average effect (AE) \le 60.0$
- 60.0 ≥high effect (HE) ≤80.0
- $80.0 \le \text{very high effect (VHE)} \le 100$

The RII values were found to be in the range (0.217-0.795) as mentioned in Appendix (A). Taking into account all factors with a relative importance greater than (65%), the number of most important factors (10 factors) became (10) that were used in developing the NLR prediction

model. The ten selected factors are listed in Table (2).

G. Data Acquisition

The data needed to develop nonlinear regression models come from (50) school projects, all of which were completed in the period (2012-2020). The information comes from the records of the General Directorate of School Buildings in Education. The projects included in this study were selected for having the same layout, number of floors, floor space, and acquisition method. Once sufficient information has been identified, the construction quality prediction is done using non-linear regression.

No	factors affecting	RII%	Rank
1.	The cost provided by the contractor to complete the work	87.8	1
2.	Specialization and cumulative experience of the contractor in the field of work	85.6	2
3.	Financial Efficiency of Contractor (Provide Cash Flow)	84.2	3
4.	Late payments due to the contractor	78.9	4
5.	stop working	77.6	5
6.	The experience of the team leader in supervision and quality control	72.9	6
7.	The period provided by the contractor to complete the work	72.2	7
8.	Delayed issuance of change orders	72	8
9.	Ease of access to the site and availability of infrastructure on the site	70	9
10.	The use of high-quality materials at work.	65.8	10

Table 2: The most important factors affecting the quality of government projects

The data are in groups (training, test and verification) and assignment (75%) of the data to the training group, (20%) to the verification group and (5%) to the test group for the performance model. (100) verification measures, (10) verification and (2) tests of this used model.

The main variables that influence construction costs in the results of the questionnaire have been modified to relate the loss of analysis as follows:

F1: The cost provided by the contractor to complete the work.

F2: Specialization and cumulative experience of the contractor in the field of work

F3: Financial Efficiency of Contractor (Provide Cash Flow)

F4: Late payments due to the contractor

F5: stop working duration. I6: the experience of the supervising engineers.

F6: The experience of the team leader in supervision and quality control





International Journal of Engineering Research-Online A Peer Reviewed International Journal

Articles available online <u>http://www.ijoer.in;</u> editorijoer@gmail.com

F7: The period provided by the contractor to complete the work

F8: Delayed issuance of change orders

F9: Ease of access to the site and availability of infrastructure on the site

- F10: The use of high-quality materials at work.
- H. Nonlinear Regression Models

The Levenberg-Marquardt technique is used to develop the NLR equations. This technique relies

on inserting variables into a nonlinear equation that is constructed according to some values of the parameters of the equation and is examined by the coefficient of determination test. The best values of the coefficients of the equation are obtained through a series of iterations. The equation is then examined by comparing the check values with the actual values. Table (3) shows the best values of the coefficients of the equation obtained.

Darameter	Estimate	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval	
Parameter			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
β1	0.05067	0.1793178	-0.33154	0.43287
β2	3.89517	937886.2537639	-1999053.33420	1999061.12454
β₃	0.17333	50539.7905414	-107722.840	107723.187
β4	-2.557E-12	6.128E-10	-1.309E-09	1.304E-09
β5	13.370	123.3408862	-249.52452	276.26523
β ₆	0.1376	0.0302089	0.07318	0.20196
β7	0.0224	0.0085749	0.00414	0.04070
β8	-0.1354	41304.4922202	-88038.57661	88038.30573
β9	-7.7962	2695.1585059	-5752.39058	5736.79816
β10	-0.0175	6.2957679	-13.43658	13.40165
β ₁₁	0.0002	0.0138734	-0.02933	0.02981
β12	1.2594	12.3362839	-25.03474	27.55360
β ₁₃	1.6517	926846.7649070	-1975525.46413	1975528.76760
β14	-0.3152	176632.7896516	-376484.19446	376483.56400
β15	-0.0254	0.4765990	-1.04123	0.99046

Table 3: Parameters estimates for model (QUALITY)

According to the aforementioned procedure, the following final delivery time estimation equations were developed using (NLR) for models (QUALITY):

(QUALITY)=0.05067*(F1)+3.89517*(F2)0.17333+(-2.557E-12)*(F3)13.370+(F4)0.1376+0.0224*(F5)+(-0.1354)*(F6)+(-7.7962)*(F7)-0.0175+0.0002*(F8) 1.2594+1.6517*(F9)-0.3152+(-0.0254)*(F10)(4)

I. Models Accuracy and Validity

One of the most important steps in developing a model is to test its accuracy and validity. It includes testing and evaluation of the developed model with some test or validation data. The validation data should be some representative data from the target population but was not used in developing the model. The expected final delivery time for the projects is projected using Equation (4) for the model. The results are shown in Table (4). It is now evident that the model performs well from the residual values shown in the table.



Vol.8., Issue.5, 2020 Sept-Oct

Table 4: Comparison of observed and predicted data of model

Case			
Proje	Observed	Predicted	Residual
ct	((QUALITY))	((QUALITY))	value
No.			
33	0.80500	0.75840	-0.04660
34	0.80000	0.79748	-0.00252
35	0.75900	0.75170	-0.00730
36	0.66700	0.70761	0.04061
37	0.60700	0.63801	0.03101
38	0.79500	0.75635	-0.03865
39	0.77500	0.77878	0.00378
40	0.73200	0.72933	-0.00267

The coefficient of determination is used to assess the validity of the formulas derived from the NLR model of construction quality (quality) for public school construction projects. The natural logarithm (Ln) of the expected (quality) values is plotted against the natural logarithm (Ln) of the observed (actual) values from the validation data set, as shown in Figure (1).



Figure 1: Observed vs. Predicted (QUALITY) using model (Quality)

From these figures it can be seen that the NLR model can possibly be generalized to work with this type of data. I found that the coefficient of determination (R2) for the model is (88.24%). From this it can be concluded that this model shows a very good agreement with the actual observations.

J. Models Evaluation

Statistical measures that can be used to measure the performance of prediction models include the following:

i. Mean Percentage Error (MPE):

$$MPE = (\sum \frac{A-E}{A}/n) * 100\% \qquad ...(5)$$

Where:

A: actual value

E: estimated value or predicted value

n: total number of cases (8 for validation).

ii. Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE):

$$\mathbf{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{2}}{n}} \quad \dots (6)$$

iii. Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE):

MAPE =
$$\frac{\sum \frac{|A-B|}{A} * 100\%}{n}$$
(7)

iv. Average Accuracy Percentage (AA%):

AA% = 100 % -MAPE (8)

v. The Coefficient of Determination (R2).

vi. The Coefficient of Correlation (R).

MAPE and percent RMSE are used as a measure of mean error only in independent test data. The results of these statistical parameters of the model are shown in Table No. (7), where it was found that the average percentage precision and the average precision obtained from the NLR model are (2.9990%) and (0.0314).

 Table 5: Performance testing results of the NLR model (Quality)

Description	Statistical Parameters
MPE	-0.2277%
RMSE	0.0314
MAPE	2.9990%
AA	97.001%
R	0.939
R ²	0.882



K. CONCLUSIONS

As a result of this research, ten factors are believed to have the greatest influence on construction quality. This is based on expert opinions collected through a questionnaire form sent to owners, consultants, supervising engineers and contractors for school construction projects in Iraq. These reasons are: the cost of completing the work provided by the contractor, the contractor's accumulated expertise and experience in the field of work, the financial efficiency of the contractor (provision of cash flow), late payments to the contractor, termination of site, the Team Leader's experience in supervision and quality control, the time provided by the contractor to complete the work.

Delayed issuance of change orders, easy access to website and availability the infrastructure on the site, the use of quality materials in the work.

Based on these ten factors, all created in the period (2012-2020), a model was developed using nonlinear regression to predict the construction quality of public school construction projects before work began. Statistical validation metrics (MPE, RMSE, MAPE, AA and R2) were used to validate and generalize the model. The models developed have shown excellent performance that can be generalized in Iraq

REFERENCES

- A. P. C. Chan, 'Determinants of project success in the construction industry of Hong Kong. PhD Thesis', Univ. South Aust. Aust., 1996.
- [2]. International Organization for Standardization, 'ISO9001 Quality management systems-Requirements', Int.

Stand. Ed,switzerl., p. 27.www.iso.org, 2008.

- [3]. C. Construction Industry Research and Information Association (1985), CIRIA Report 109 and London., 'No Title'.
- [4]. C. N. Fan, 'Development of quality assurance in Hong Kong construction works', Hong Kong Contract. March/April, 1995.
- [5]. B. Atkin and E. Pothecary, Building futures: A report on the future organisation of the building process. University of Reading, Department of Construction Management and Engineering, 1994.
- [6]. O. Zwikael and S. Globerson, 'From critical success factors to critical success processes', Int. J. Prod. Res., vol. 44, no. 17, pp. 3433–3449, 2006.
- [7]. P. Beale and M. Freeman, 'Successful project execution: a model', 1991.
- [8]. J. K. Pinto and D. P. Slevin, 'Critical factors in successful project implementation', IEEE Trans. Eng. Manag., no. 1, pp. 22–27, 1987.
- [9]. N. K. Jha, 'Factors for the success of a construction project emperical study'. 2004.
- [10]. A. Enshassi, S. Mohamed, and S. Abushaban, 'Factors affecting the performance of construction projects in the Gaza strip', J. Civ. Eng. Manag., vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 269–280, 2009.
- [11]. M. Ozdemir, 'A probabilistic schedule delay analysis in construction projects by using fuzzy logic incorporated with relative importance index (RII) method', Middle East Tech. Univ., 2010.

No	Factors affecting the quality of government buildings		
	Group (1): Owner -related factors		
1	The type of owner and how important it is		
2	How good the project is required for the owner		
3	Delayed issuance of change orders		
4	Owner's experience with similar projects		

<u>Appendix (A)</u>



A Peer Reviewed International Journal Articles available online <u>http://www.ijoer.in;</u> editorijoer@gmail.com

5	Owner's experience with similar projects				
6	Reducing the project implementation period				
7	Reducing the project budget				
8	stop working				
	Group (2): Design and specifications -related factors				
9	Sufficient prequalification meetings with the designer				
10	Similar work and previous design experience				
11	The level of commitment to the principle of improving and developing quality in design with continuity of				
12	WORK				
12	Delay in delivery of design documents				
13	Complexity in project design				
14	Use of locally available materials and methods of implementation				
	Group (3): The project-related factors				
15	The type and nature of the project				
16	Estimated duration of the project				
17	Estimated project implementation cost				
1	Existence of warehouses to store and collect the necessary materials for work				
8					
1	The overall site is well organized				
9					
2	The breadth of the site and ease of movement of workers and equipment				
0	The breadth of the site and ease of movement of workers and equipment				
	Group (4): Contract -related factors				
2	Type of Contract				
1					
2	Clarity of the terms of the contract greatly				
2					
2	Provide detailed items for specifications, quantities and drawings.				
5					
	Group (5): Contractor -related factors				
2	The time period provided by the contractor to complete the work				
4					
	Specialization and cumulative experience of the contractor in the field of work				
5					
2	Splitting the contract for more than one secondary contractor				
6					
2	The cost provided by the contractor to complete the work				
7	······				
H					
2	A successful previous working relationship between the project parties (the contractor completed the work				





A Peer Reviewed International Journal Articles available online <u>http://www.ijoer.in;</u> editorijoer@gmail.com

8	on time, budget and required quality)				
	Group (6): Team supervision and implementation -related factors				
2 9	Engineering awareness of the importance of controlling work quality to supervise and implement engineers				
3 0	The experience of the team leader in supervision and quality control				
3 1	The experience of the contractor team leader in doing work within the required engineering specifications				
3 2	Cooperation between supervision and implementation teams				
	Group (7): Sub-contractor -related factors				
3 3	Owner's contribution to selecting the sub-contractor				
3 4	Existence of a work contract on good and fair terms between the general contractor and the sub-contractor				
3 5	Specialization, technical competence and expertise of the sub-contractor				
	Group (8): Management -related factors				
3 6	The importance of the documentation system for all parties involved in the contracting and implementation process (correspondence, reports, change orders, schedules, workshop drawings, tests)				
3 7	Forming committees to monitor the work quality and accrediting the laboratories that are eligible for examination				
3 8	Establishing a comprehensive quality system at the project level				
3 9	The appropriate organizational and administrative structure for the employer and contractor to follow up the quality system				
4 0	The project parties are concerned with cost and time more than the quality of the project				
	Group (9): Financial issues -related factors				
4 1	Financial Efficiency of Contractor (Provide Cash Flow)				
4 2	Credit the contractor with the full amount of work performed				
4 3	Late payments due to the contractor				
4 4	Comply with the instructions for the procedures of credit				





A Peer Reviewed International Journal Articles available online <u>http://www.ijoer.in;</u> editorijoer@gmail.com

4 5		Agreeing on the form of financial deductions for works that are totally or partially rejected
		Group (10): Materials and equipment-related factors
4 6		The use of high-quality materials at work
4 7		Prepare lists of materials that will be imported or manufactured in advance
	48	Equipment price fluctuations
	49	Material price fluctuations
	50	Abundance and efficiency of equipment and machines used in work
L		Group (11): Execution method -related factors
	51	Preparing and using executive plans
	52	The use of a comprehensive and continuous supervision system
	53	Clear steps to receive the completed work
	54	Examining the final stages of the completed works
		Group (12): The Systems Used -related factors
	55	Application monitoring systems and quality control
	56	Application and use of schedules
	57	Using a cost control system
	58	Implementation of the prevention and safety program
		Group (13): Workforce -related factors
	59	Use a team with specialization or work experience
	60	Providing periodic awareness programs in the field of quality to raise the level of workforce efficiency
	61	Adopting the principle of material and moral incentives for workers
		Group (14): The external environment-related factors
	62	The stability of the security situation
	63	Impact of environmental restrictions
	64	Impact of social restrictions
	65	Impact of legal restrictions

